Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/527,106	HUNICKE-SMITH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth Quan	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Elizabeth Quan.	(3)	
(2) <u>James F. Kamp</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-8		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	 The examiner will provide a writt rate record of the substance of the 	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Attorney came into agreement on changes to render the application allowable. These changes, which are reflected in the accompanying Examiner Amendment, include providing antecedent basis to reagent shelf and computer and definite language to reagent containers, changing "synthesis plate" to "multiwell plate" and "Teflon" to "polytetrafluoroethylene, reciting the gasket as forming a seal over the multiwell plate rather than the synthesis block, canceling method claims, correcting typos in the specification, and changing the reference character pointing to the filter to reference character "97" in figs. 6 and 7.